# Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh Ministry of Environment and Forests

Monthly Air Quality Monitoring Report Reporting Month: April, 2014

Clean Air and Sustainable Environment Project (নির্মল বায়ু এবং টেকসই পরিবেশ প্রকল্প)

May, 2014

**Department of Environment** 

# Content

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Monitoring Network	2
	Monthly Air Quality	
4.	Summary and conclusion	4
5.	ANNEX	9

#### 1. **Introduction**

Air quality management plans based on knowledge of sources, appropriate air quality standards, accurate air quality data, and effective incentives; and enforcement policies is therefore needed to be adopted.

At this backdrop, real-time measurements of ambient level pollutants were made at 8 major cities (Namely, Dhaka, Narayangonj, Gazipur, Chittagong, Rajshahi,Khulna, Barisal and Sylhet) of Bangladesh. The data generated will be used to define the nature and severity of pollution in the cities; identify pollution trends in the country; and develop air models and emission inventories.

The program encompasses operation of the sampling and monitoring network, and quality assurance activities to ensure the quality of the data collected and disseminated by the CASE project.

CASE project monitors the criteria pollutants such as carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, ozone, sulfur dioxide, PM10 and PM2.5. Monitoring is performed to demonstrate attainment or non-attainment of national ambient air quality standards to assess the trends of air pollution levels.

The main purpose of this report is to present, analyze and make available of these data to the general public, stakeholders, researchers and policy makers to develop effective air pollution abatement strategies. This report summarizes the air quality data collected at the different CAMS in operation under the Department of Environment (DoE) air quality monitoring network.

The basis for discussion of air quality has been the data collected from the Air Quality monitoring Network stations under DoE. The data have been quality controlled and the air pollution levels have been compared to the Bangladesh Ambient Air Quality Standard as adopted in 2005. <u>Table 1 Table 1 represents the current and approved air quality standards for Bangladesh.</u>

Pollutant	Objective	Average		
СО	10 mg/m <sup>3</sup> (9 ppm)	8 hours(a)		
CO	40 mg/m <sup>3</sup> (35 ppm)	1 hour(a)		
Pb	0.5 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	Annual		
NO <sub>x</sub>	100 μg/m <sup>3</sup> (0.053 ppm)	Annual		
PM10	50 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	Annual (b)		
PIVITO	150 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	24 hours (c)		
PM2.5	15 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	Annual		
PIVIZ.3	65 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	24 hours		
0	235 μg/m <sup>3</sup> (0.12 ppm)	1 hour (d)		
$O_3$	157 μg/m <sup>3</sup> (0.08 ppm)	8 hours		
20	80 μg/m <sup>3</sup> (0.03 ppm)	Annual		
SO <sub>2</sub>	365 μg/m <sup>3</sup> (0.14 ppm)	24 hours (a)		

#### Notes:

- (a) Not to be exceeded more than once per year
- (b) The objective is attained when the annual arithmetic mean is less than or equal to 50 ug/m<sup>3</sup>
- (c) The objective is attained when the expected number of days per calendar year with a 24-hour average of 150 µg/m³ is equal to or less than 1
- (d) The objective is attained when the expected number of days per calendar year with the maximum hourly average of 0.12 ppm is equal to or less than 1 (Source: AQMP, DOE).

#### 2. Monitoring Network

The main objective of the Bangladesh AQM network is to provide reliable information to the authorities and to the public about the air quality in most populous cities of Bangladesh.

As a part of the air quality monitoring strategy, several objectives can be achieved, including:

- Establish source/receptor relationships;
- Identify which are the pollutants of concern and their current status;
- Show how widespread air pollution problems are and indicate the general extent of the public exposure;
- Provide benchmarks against which trends in overall air quality can be compared and devise performance indicators for assessing the impact of an air quality management plan or strategy;
- Provide a data base for evaluation of effects; of urban, land use management, and transportation planning; of development and evaluation of abatement strategies; and of development and validation of atmospheric processes and models.

Another objective in the monitoring and management programme to provide input data for modeling. These data will serve as a background for performing air quality planning and abatement studies. Model results may also serve as input to other studies such as health related investigations and exposure assessments.

The ambient air quality monitoring network Bangladesh consists of eleven (11) fixed Continuous Air Monitoring Stations (CAMS). The locations of the 11 CAMS are shown in <u>Figure 1</u>. Brief description of the monitoring stations and the list of measured parameters recorded at each station are provided in <u>Table 2</u>.

Table 2: Description of Monitoring Network:

City	ID	Location	Lat/Lon	Monitoring capacity
	CAMS-1	SangshadBhaban, Sher-e-Bangla Nagar	23.76N 90.39E	PM10, PM2.5, CO, SO2, NOX, O3, and HC concentrations with meteorological parameters.
Dhaka	CAMS-2	Firmgate	23.76N 90.39E	PM10, PM2.5, CO, SO2, NOX, O3, and HC with meteorological parameters.
	CAMS-3	Darus-Salam	23.78N 90.36E	PM10, PM2.5, CO, SO2, NOX and O3 with meteorological parameters.
Gazipur	CAMS-4	Gazipur	23.99N 90.42E	PM10, PM2.5, CO, SO2, NOX and O3 with meteorological parameters.
Narayangonj	CAMS-5	Narayangonj	23.63N 90.51E	PM10, PM2.5, CO, SO2, NOX and O3 with meteorological parameters.
Chittagong	CAMS-6	TV station, Khulshi	22.36N 91.80E	PM10, PM2.5, CO, SO2, NOX, O3, and HC with meteorological parameters.
Officiagorig	CAMS-7	Agrabad	22.32N 91.81E	PM10, PM2.5, CO, SO2, NOX and O3 with meteorological parameters.
Khulna	Khulna CAMS-8 Baira		22.48N 89.53E	PM10, PM2.5, CO, SO2, NOX, O3, and HC with meteorological parameters
Rajshahi	CAMS-9	Sopura	24.38N 88.61E	PM10, PM2.5, CO, SO2, NOX, O3, and HC with meteorological

City	ID	Location	Lat/Lon	Monitoring capacity
				parameters.
Sylhet	CAMS-10	IRAG I TACANT	91.87E	PM10, PM2.5, CO, SO2, NOX and O3 with meteorological parameters.
Barisal	CAMS-11	DFO office campus	90.36E	PM10, PM2.5, CO, SO2, NOX and O3 with meteorological parameters.




Figure 1: CAMS Location in Bangladesh

Monitoring data from network stations are transferred to a central data centre at the Department of Environment office in Dhaka and simultaneously transferred to Air Quality Management System based on NILU AIRQuis system established under BAPMAN project. The data are stored in AIRQuis database for quality check, control, evaluation, validation, statistical analysis. Quality controlled data are then stored in the final database for further analysis, reporting, presentations and future use.

#### 3. **Monthly Air Quality**

The data presented in this report are based on monitoring of air quality parameters during April 2014 at 11 CAMS operated under CASE-DoE monitoring network. Table-3 summarizes the basic statistics of the data along with the data capture rate and the number of days for which specific pollutant exceeded the Bangladesh National Ambient Air Quality Standard (BNAAQS). Since NOx have only annual standard, so for this pollutant daily 24-hours average concentration levels were compared with the annual average. During data quality control some data were flagged as invalid and those were not included in the analysis. Time series plots based on the data generated in the CAMS are also given in Annexes.

In general the data availability (valid data) found to be over 80% except few parameters in the CAMS in operation. In case of data capture rate below 75% for a particular averaging time are not reported. Data from Khulna CAMS could not be included in the report because data were not available in the central data station due to failure of the virtual private networking. Only the PM and some met data were available from Narayanganj CAMS due to power problems. BARC, Farmgate CAMS and Rajshahi CAMS keep shut down due to Air conditioning system failure.

Inspection of the data shows that there were some occurrences of non-compliance with respect to the BNAAQS for both PM10 as well as PM2.5 levels at some of the stations where data were available. NOx concentrations in majority of the station were also observed non-attainment. It is observed that the monthly average concentration level of PM2.5 and PM10 measured at different CAMS were found around 62-99 µg/m3 and 97-182 µg/m<sup>3</sup> respectively during the month of April 2014. It is also seen that the concentration level of PM2.5 exceeded the BNAAQS for 14 days at Sangsad Bhaban CAMS, 18 days at Darussalam, 17 days at Sylhet CAMS, 14 days at Gazipur CAMS, 10 days at Narayongani CAMS, 12 days at Barishal CAMS, 10 days at CDA, Agrabad and 16 days at Tv station Chittagong CAMS respectively. On the other hand PM10 exceeded 15 days at Sangsad CAMS, 09 days at Darussalam CAMS, 15 days at Narayongani CAMS, 11 days at Gazipur CAMS, 10 days at Sylhet CAMS, 11 days at TV station Chittagong & 05 days at Agrabad, Chittagong respectively. From the time series plot of both PM10 and PM2.5, it is seen there are only a few episodes of low PM concentrations. 24-hours average PM levels in all cities monitored are found in decreasing trend compared to the previous month because wind speed recorded this month was higher than that of the last month which leads more dilution of pollutants as well as the dispersion. It is also observed that all the gaseous pollutants except NOx in few CAMS did not exceed the BNAAQS.

In general PM pollution levels in the cities monitored during the reporting month found lower to previous month in respect of public health. Usually in the dry seasons the pollution level reached highest peak and gradually decreases during wet season, which is reflected in the data monitored in all CAMS during the month of April-2014. It is observed that average wind speed and precipitation compared to previous month has a increasing tendency, which increases the rate of dispersion of the pollutants and this might be a reason for observed lower PM concentration.

Wind frequency distributions, also called Wind roses for only Agrabad, Chittagong, Sylhet, Sangsad, Darussalam and Barisal CAMS under the monitoring network are presented in ANNEX. From the wind rose patterns, it is observed that the predominant wind direction during the month April 2014 were mainly from south-east direction.

# 4. Summary and conclusion

Data obtained from CAMS operated under DoE air quality monitoring network during April, 2014 have been analyzed and reported. Data availability was over 70-80% for all the criteria pollutant monitored at different CAMS with few exceptions. Air quality data for some pollutants were not reported because either the analyzer was not functional or the data capture rate was too low. From the analysis of the data following conclusion can be drawn:

- PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2,5</sub> are the most critical pollutants and 24-hour average for both PM10 and PM2.5 concentrations were found decreasing tendency of non compliance with the BNAAQS during the month of April, 2014. It is observed that the average concentration level of PM2.5 and PM10 were around 62-99 μg/m3 and 97-182 μg/m³ respectively during the month of April 2014.
- The gaseous pollutants except NOx measured at different CAMS did not exceeded limit values of the BNAAQS. In case of NOx non-compliance observed in Darussalam, Gazipur CAMS. Maximum 24 hours NOx concentration at these stations found to be higher than annual average BNAAQS limit values (53 ppb) especially in Darussalam CAMS where observed 24-hours average was 41 ppb and max 125 ppb.

This is a road side monitoring station and higher traffic congestion may be cause for high NOx concentration.

• Due to increased average wind speed and precipitation during April 2014, dispersion and wash out of pollutants increasing and thus the pollution concentration levels showed lower than previous month.

At present manual data quality checks and screening are performed for analyzing the air quality data, further strict quality assurance program that will be developed for this program which eventually will improve the data quality. During the reporting month a number of analyzers did not produced data and they are under maintenance process.

Table 3: Summary Air Quality and Meteorological data measured during April 2014 at different CAMS operated under DoE

Parameter	unit	NAAQS	Summary	CAMS-1 (S- Bhaban)	CAMS-2 (BARC) <sup>a</sup>	CAMS-3 (D-salam)	CAMS-4 (Gazipur)	CAMS-5 (Narayong anj)	CAMS-6 TV-St (Chittagong)	CAMS-7 Agrabad- (Chittagon g)	CAMS-8 (Sylhet)	CAMS-9 (Khulna) <sup>a</sup>	CAMS-10 (Rajshahi)	CAMS-11 (Barisal)
			Average	3.95	DNA <sup>2</sup>	6.64	4.63	DNA***	8.12	4.57	4.56	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	2.17
			Max	5.56	$DNA^2$	20.4	5.81	DNA***	12.6	10.1	11.6	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	3.43
$SO_2$ -24 hr	ppb	140	Min	2.27	DNA <sup>2</sup>	1.43	4.03	DNA***	4.49	1.80	2.71	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	1.24
2	PF~		Excedance(Days)	0	DNA <sup>2</sup>	0	0	DNA***	0	0	0	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	0
			Data capture(%)	97	$DNA^2$	92	87	DNA***	78	89	92	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	93
			Average	DNA*	$DNA^2$	41.3	26.5	DNA***	17.3	DNA*	18.2	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	4.02
			Max	DNA*	$DNA^2$	125	82.2	DNA***	20.1	DNA*	35.5	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	12.5
$NO_2$ -24 hr	ppb	53	Min	DNA*	$DNA^2$	13.2	6.75	DNA***	15.7	DNA*	3.99	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	1.69
2		(Annual)	Excedance(Days)	DNA*	DNA <sup>2</sup>	6	1	DNA***	0	DNA*	О	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	О
			Data capture(%)	DNA*	$DNA^2$	85	82	DNA***	87	DNA*	91	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	93
		35	Average	DNA*	DNA <sup>2</sup>	1.74	1.42	DNA***	2.79	2.33	2.80	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	0.87
			Max	DNA*	$DNA^2$	7.11	4.88	DNA***	16.16	4.38	8.29	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	3.53
CO- 1 hr	ppm		Min	DNA*	DNA <sup>2</sup>	0.79	0.12	DNA***	0.05	1.42	1.35	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	0.46
	FF		Excedance(Hour )	DNA*	DNA <sup>2</sup>	О	О	DNA***	0	0	0	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	0
			Data capture(%)	DNA*	DNA <sup>2</sup>	93	87	DNA***	65	90	92	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	93
		9	Average	DNA*	DNA <sup>2</sup>	1.75	1.43	DNA***	2.87	2.33	2.79	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	0.86
			Max	DNA*	$DNA^2$	4.96	4.09	DNA***	14.35	3.90	5.78	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	2.38
CO-8hr	ppm		Min	DNA*	$DNA^2$	0.90	0.54	DNA***	0.12	1.82	1.61	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	0.50
	FF		Excedance(Hour )	DNA*	DNA <sup>2</sup>	О	О	DNA***	10	0	О	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	0
			Data capture(%)	DNA*	$DNA^2$	96	95	DNA***	90	97	95	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	96
			Average	DNA*	$DNA^2$	17.1	DNA*	DNA***	17.8	9.46	14.0	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	24.8
			Max	DNA*	$DNA^2$	90.4	DNA*	DNA***	57.3	61.1	47.8	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	91.5
O <sub>3</sub> -1hr	ppb	ь 120	Min	DNA*	$DNA^2$	0.40	DNA*	DNA***	7.69	0.09	0.05	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	4.03
- 3	PF~		Excedance(Hour )	DNA*	DNA <sup>2</sup>	О	DNA*	DNA***	0	0	0	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	0
			Data capture(%)	DNA*	$DNA^2$	93	DNA*	DNA***	87	90	73	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	93
			Average	DNA*	DNA <sup>2</sup>	17.1	DNA*	DNA***	18.0	9.75	13.0	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	25.1
			Max	DNA*	DNA <sup>2</sup>	75.4	DNA*	DNA***	50.7	57.4	38.5	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	68.2
$O_3$ -8hr	ppb	80	Min	DNA*	DNA <sup>2</sup>	0.87	DNA*	DNA***	8.47	0.27	0.06	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	5.78
,	PF~		Excedance(Hour )	DNA*	DNA <sup>2</sup>	0	DNA*	DNA***	0	0	0	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	0
			Data capture(%)	DNA*	$DNA^2$	96	DNA*	DNA***	92	97	83	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	96

CAMS= Continuous Air Monitoring Station, NAAQS=National Ambient Air Quality Standard, a=Refurbisment CAMS, PM= Particulate Matter, DNA= Data Not Available, \*\*\*=DNA for port problem 2=DNA due to station shut down because of malfunction of AC, 1= DNA due to station out of monnitoring network, \*=DNA due to malfunction of the analyzer/sensor, \*\*=DNA due to poor data capture rate


Table 3: Summary Air Quality and Meteorological data measured during April 2014 at different CAMS operated under DoE (Cont'd)

Parameter	unit	NAAQS	Summary	CAMS-1 (S- Bhaban)	CAMS-2 (BARC) <sup>a</sup>	CAMS-3 (D-salam)	CAMS-4 (Gazipur)	CAMS-5 (Narayong anj)	CAMS-6 TV-St (Chittagong)	CAMS-7 Agrabad-	CAMS-8 (Sylhet)	CAMS-9 (Khulna) <sup>a</sup>	CAMS-10 (Rajshahi)	CAMS-11 (Barisal)
			Average	71.1	DNA <sup>2</sup>	89.2	79.5	88.1	99	75.6	75.7	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	62.8
			Max	112	$DNA^2$	180	142	172	167	144	107	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	105
PM <sub>2.5</sub> -24hr	μg /m <sup>3</sup>	65	Min	37.6	DNA <sup>2</sup>	44.8	43.6	38.4	53.1	33.4	30.3	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	37.2
2.0	F-9 /		Excedance(Days)	14	DNA <sup>2</sup>	18	14	10	16	10	17	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	12
			Data capture(%)	95	DNA <sup>2</sup>	85	75	65	69	71	79	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	86
			Average	159	DNA <sup>2</sup>	176	162	181	145	182	134	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	97.3
			Max	254	DNA <sup>2</sup>	363	274	367	242	315	189	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	119
PM <sub>10</sub> -24hr	μg /m <sup>3</sup>	150	Min	90.6	$DNA^2$	91.1	85.8	87.2	77.9	102	60.1	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	69.6
10	mg / ****		Excedance(Days)	15	DNA <sup>2</sup>	9	11	15	11	5	10	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	0
			Data capture(%)	95	DNA <sup>2</sup>	72	77	94	86	55	74	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	45
		vatt/m <sup>2</sup> NA	Average	187	DNA <sup>2</sup>	251	242	183	DNA*	227	233	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	232
Solar rad. 1hr			Max	769	DNA <sup>2</sup>	992	903	818	DNA*	861	918	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	869
Solai Tau. III	watt/III		Min	5.11	DNA <sup>2</sup>	2.80	5.86	0.52	DNA*	7.16	5.61	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	7.79
			Data capture(%)	97	DNA <sup>2</sup>	93	88	13	DNA*	90	92	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	93
		) NA	Average	55.9	DNA <sup>2</sup>	63.1	61.9	DNA*	DNA*	67.5	60.4	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	66.9
Relative	(%)		Max	91.1	$DNA^2$	89.6	93.8	DNA*	DNA*	91.4	93.0	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	95.3
Humidity 1hr	(70)	IVA	Min	12.6	DNA <sup>2</sup>	11.1	13.4	DNA*	DNA*	18.6	21.1	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	19.8
			Data capture(%)	97	DNA <sup>2</sup>	93	87	DNA*	DNA*	90	92	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	93
			Average	28.7	DNA <sup>2</sup>	DNA*	32.4	33.0	DNA*	29.7	29.5	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	33.5
	(°c)	NA	Max	38.3	DNA <sup>2</sup>	DNA*	44.4	39.0	DNA*	38.3	38.3	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	43.5
Ambient Temp.	(10)	YC) NA	Min	17.1	DNA <sup>2</sup>	DNA*	22.2	18.4	DNA*	21.2	20.6	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	25.8
1hr			Data capture(%)	97	DNA <sup>2</sup>	DNA*	87	96	DNA*	90	92	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	93
			Average	1.34	DNA <sup>2</sup>	0.08	0.05	DNA*	DNA*	0.03	0.07	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	DNA**
Rainfall 1hr	(m.m.)	n.m.) NA	Max	5.12	DNA <sup>2</sup>	5.53	3.63	DNA*	DNA*	1.62	4.78	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	DNA**
Kaiman IIII	(111.111.)		Min	0.02	DNA <sup>2</sup>	0.02	0.02	DNA*	DNA*	0.02	0.02	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	DNA**
			Data capture(%)	95	DNA <sup>2</sup>	81	72	DNA*	DNA*	65	62	DNA <sup>1</sup>	DNA**	DNA**


CAMS= Continuous Air Monitoring Station, NAAQS=National Ambient Air Quality Standard, a=Refurbisment CAMS, PM= Particulate Matter, DNA= Data Not Available, \*\*\*=DNA for port problem 2=DNA due to station shut down because of malfunction of AC, 1= DNA due to station out of monnitoring network, \*=DNA due to malfunction of the analyzer/sensor, \*\*=DNA due to poor data capture rate

Figure 2: Wind frequency distributions (wind roses) from different CAMS monitored for April 2014 (cont'd).


# Wind Rose of Agrabad, Chittagong CAMS




# Wind Rose of Sylhet CAMS




# Wind Rose of Sangsad CAMS




# **Wind Rose of Barisal CAMS**





Wind Rose of Darussalam CAMS




#### TIME SERIES OF ALL PARAMETERS (SO2, NOx AND O3) MEASURED IN ALL CAMS DURING APRIL 2014









### TIME SERIES OF ALL PARAMETERS (CO, PM10 AND PM2.5) MEASURED IN CAMS DURING APRIL, 2014

